

Detecting Signatures of the Cosmic Thermal History through Pulsar Observations

M. Lattanzi

Dip. di Fisica - Università di Roma "La Sapienza"

In collaboration with R. Benini and G. Montani

14th GWDAW MEETING

Rome, January 28th, 2010

Cosmological Gravitational Waves

The detection of cosmological gravitational waves (GW) produced in the early Universe would be a major breakthrough in cosmology and high-energy physics.

The basic reason for this is that GWs decouple from the primordial plasma at $T \sim M_{Pl} \sim 10^{19}$ GeV, and thus give a "snapshot" of the Universe as it was at the time of their production (since this usually occurs at $T < M_{Pl}$!).

Several scenarios of the early Universe predict the production of gravitational waves, through a variety of physical processes:

- Inflation (amplification of vacuum fluctuations)
- **String Cosmology** (amplification of vacuum fluctuations)
- **Cosmic strings** (oscillation of closed string loops)
- Phase transitions (bubble collisions, turbolence)

Cosmological Gravitational Waves



LIGO and VIRGO collaborations, Nature 460, 990 (2009)

• The GW spectrum has to be propagated until the present time

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}\dot{h}_{ij} + k^2 h_{ij} = 16\pi G a^2 \Pi_{ij}$$

•The source term on the RHS of Einstein eqn. is the anisotropic part of the stress tensor, and vanishes in the case of a perfect fluid. It is thus a (at least) first order quantity in the framework of cosmological perturbation theory.

•As a rule of thumb, we have that π_{ii} is proportional to the mean free path of particles.

• Then the main contribution to the anisotropic stress (AS) is due to neutrinos, since they are the most weakly interacting particles. The Universe is filled by neutrinos, with a number density of the order of the number density of the CMB photons.

• Weinberg (*Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 023503, 2004) has computed the effect of neutrino AS on the propagation of gravitational waves on a FRW background, *for frequencies relevant to the CMBR*.

In that regime, neutrinos are effectively collisionless, i.e. C[f] = 0.

•We want to explore the regime in which collisions should be taken into account.

$$\ddot{h}_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}\dot{h}_{ij} + k^2 h_{ij} = 16\pi G a^2 \Pi_{ij}$$
$$\dot{F}_{\nu} + i(\vec{k} \cdot \hat{n})F_{\nu} + 2\dot{h}_{ij}n_i n_j = \frac{4\pi}{a^4\bar{\rho}}\int dqq^3 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau}\right)_C$$

Mathematical Procedure:

■ Write the distr. function of neutrinos as an equilibrium, zeroth order part + a small perturbation $\delta f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}, t)$

Fourier transform the spatial dependence;

Integrate to eliminate the dependence from the neutrino momentum;

Expand the Boltzmann equation over Legendre polynomials in order to eliminate the residual angular dependence; Interaction of GWs with cosmological neutrinos

$$\begin{split} \ddot{h}_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}\dot{h}_{ij} + k^{2}h_{ij} &= 4Ga^{2}\bar{\rho}_{\nu}G_{ij}^{(0)} \\ \dot{G}_{ij}^{(0)} &= -k\,G_{ij}^{(1)} - \frac{8\pi}{15}\dot{h}_{ij} - \frac{G_{ij}^{(0)}}{\tau}, \qquad \mathbf{\tau_{weak}} = \mathbf{1}/(\mathbf{G_{F}}^{2}\mathbf{T^{5}}) \\ \dot{G}_{ij}^{(2)} &= -\frac{k}{5}\left[3G_{ij}^{(3)} - 2G_{ij}^{(1)}\right] - \frac{16\pi}{105}\dot{h}_{ij} - \frac{G_{ij}^{(2)}}{\tau}, \\ \dot{G}_{ij}^{(4)} &= -\frac{k}{9}\left[5G_{ij}^{(5)} - 4G_{ij}^{(3)}\right] - \frac{8\pi}{315}\dot{h}_{ij} - \frac{G_{ij}^{(4)}}{\tau}, \\ \dot{G}_{ij}^{(\ell)} &= -\frac{k}{2\ell+1}\left[(\ell+1)G_{ij}^{(\ell+1)} - \ell\,G_{ij}^{(\ell-1)}\right] - \frac{G_{ij}^{(\ell)}}{\tau} \qquad (\ell \neq 0, 2, 4). \end{split}$$

Interaction of GWs with cosmological neutrinos

• The main effect of the interaction is that the amplitude of the wave is damped by a factor *D*

- The damping depends on two parameters: the neutrino density f_ν = $\rho_\nu/\,\rho_{tot}$ and the frequency of collisions 1/ τ_c

• In the early Universe, in the case of constant f_v and without collisions, *D* is independent from the frequency of the GW.

• Neutrino collisions set up at T~1 MeV, i.e v~6 x 10^{-10} Hz

• In general, $h_c(f) \rightarrow D(f) h_c(f)$ and $\Omega_{gw}(f) \rightarrow D(f)^2 \Omega_{gw}(f)$



At T~1 MeV, the Universe undergoes a "phase transition"

 For T>> 1 MeV, the Universe is a plasma of photons, electrons, positrons and neutrinos that are kept in thermal equilibrium by the electromagnetic and weak interactions;

• At T ~ 1 MeV, the weak interactions "freeze-out" and the cosmological fluid is made by two components: a photon,e+e- plasma on one side, and the neutrino (collisionless) gas on the other; the two components, althoug not in thermal contact, have the same temperature

 Sligthly below, 1 MeV, the e+ and e- annihilate mainly to photons and heat the photon component that is then hotter than the neutrinos;

 The frequency of a wave entering the horizon at T~1 MeV is between 10⁻¹⁰ and 10⁻⁹ Hertz

 The region between 10⁻⁹ and 10⁻⁷ Hz can be probed by pulsar timing techniques.



This is how a flat spectrum at the source (normalized to high frequencies) would appear now, after GW propagation across the Universe.

Signatures of the Neutrino Thermal History

Deviation from a power law behaviour



Signatures of the Neutrino Thermal History

Can we see this feature? (A theorist's view)

 A large enough cosmological signal should be present in the nHz range (cosmic strings?);

 An independent confirmation at larger frequencies (interferometers) would be useful (also to "normalize" the signal);

• The cosmological GW background should be larger than the astrophysical background (BH binaries); or, the latter should be removed;

• Frequencies below 1 nHz should be measured, the smaller the better. At f=1/(100 years), the damping is just 5%. The largest change in slope occurs at f ~ 0.1 nHz ~ $\sim 1/(300 \text{ years})$. Large times of observations would also introduce problems related to the timing stability;

 One should have enough frequency resolution and sensitivity to the signal to do a proper characterization of the spectrum.

SUMMARY

 The production of gravitational waves is a prediction of several early Universe scenarios.

The spectra predicted from these scenarios differ very much.

 Cosmological GWs DO NOT propagate in vacuum, since they interact with the anisotropic stress of the cosmological fluid (i.e. its effective viscosity). A source of anisotropic stress are the free streaming background neutrinos.

• The interaction of GWs with cosmological neutrinos results in a damping of the wave intensity, amounting to 50% at most. This damping is not so severe to prevent primordial GWs to be detected today.

• The thermal evolution around T ~ 1 MeV ($z \sim 10^{10}$) (i.e. neutrino decoupling and e^+e^- annihilation) would leave a distinct imprint on any cosmological signal in the sub-nHz range.

- To explore that frequency range with PTAs would require ~ 100 years.
- However, neutrinos are not the only possible source of anisotropic stress (e.g. magnetic fields)....
- and the thermal history of the Universe is largely unknown!!!



Theoretical predictions:

De Sitter Inflation

Flat spectrum for $f > f_{eq} \sim 10^{-16} \text{ Hz}$

 $1/f^2$ spectrum for $f < f_{eq}$

Typical intensity: $h_0^2 \Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-13} (H / 10^{-4} M_{Pl})^2$

Correction for slow-roll:

Small tilt $|n_T| \sim 1$ in the "flat" region: $n_T = -\frac{1}{7} \frac{A_T}{A_S}$

String Cosmology

Almost flat spectrum for $f > f_s \sim ?$

 f^3 spectrum for $f < f_s$

Typical intensity: $h_0^2 \Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-13} - 10^{-4}$

Theoretical predictions:

Phase Transitions

The spectrum is usually peaked at a single frequency f_0 (e.g., $f_0 \sim 4 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz for EW phase transition)

Strongly first order transitions are needed

 $\epsilon \sim 1$ is excluded (optimistically, $\epsilon \sim 10^{-2}$)

Typical peak intensity: $h_0^2 \Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-5} \epsilon^2 x$ suppression factors

Cosmic Strings

Flat spectrum for 10^{-8} Hz < f < 10^{10} Hz

Peak in the region $f \sim 10^{-12}$ Hz

Typical intensity: $h_0^2 \Omega_{gw} \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-7}$

Interaction of Cosmological GWs with neutrinos





Cosmological Gravitational Waves



Siemens, Mandic & Creighton, PRL 98, 111101 (2007)