

Search for Gravitational Waves from Soft Gamma Repeaters



Zsuzsa Márka (Columbia University) for the LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration

SGRs & AXPs: Magnetars

Soft gamma repeaters (SGRs) and X-ray (AXPs) anomalous pulsars sporadically emit short bursts of soft gamma rays with peak luminosities commonly up to 10⁴² erg/s [1]. Rare giant flare events, 10³–10⁴ times brighter, are among the most luminous events in the Universe [1]. 3 of 5 known galactic SGRs have each produced a giant flare.



SGRs are promising gravitational wave (GW) sources. In the "magnetar" model SGRs are neutron stars (NS) with exceptionally strong magnetic fields, 10¹⁵ G [2]. SGR bursts may result from the interaction of the field with the solid NS crust, leading to crustal deformations and catastrophic cracking [3] with potential excitation of the star's nonradial GW-damped f-modes [4].

Prompt Searches

Analysis is performed with the Flare pipeline [5,6] in ± 2 s GW data signal regions around burst trigger times provided by IPN satellites. We assume GW bursts occur within ± 0.5 s of EM Loudest signal region events are burst. compared to the background to estimate detection significance.

$200 \mathrm{m}$	 		 	 <u></u> .
		-		
i				

♦ +

2.5

frequency (kHz)

constants and frequencies, for

a variety of NS masses and

equations of state, from [7].

f-mode

3

time

Ο

1.5

(Figure from [8].)

 \diamond

 \mathbf{D}

damping time (ms)

300

200

100

Predicted

Prompt Search Results

Search included the 2004 SGR 1806-20 giant flare (red circles), "GRB" 060806 from SGR 1806-20 (diamonds), and common bursts from SGRs 1900+14 and 1806-20, and the SGR 1900+14 storm (see lightcurve below).

E_{GW} upper limits for twelve waveforms in the three search bands are shown at right kpc nominal distance, isotropic (10)emission).

Best f-mode upper limit was 2x10⁴⁸ erg. Best f-mode upper limit on y= E_{GW} / E_{FM} was 2x10⁴ (due to large E_{FM} from giant flare).

Stacking SGR 1900+14 Storm (extension of the original prompt search)

GW data near individual EM bursts were Abbott et al. ApJ 701, L68-L74 (2009) stacked (time-aligned) according to rising No detection.

Abbott et al. PRL 101, 211102 (2008) No detection.

	WNB 11ms 100–200Hz
	₩₩₩ WNB 11ms 100–1000Hz
	WNB 100ms 100–200Hz
	WNB 100ms 100–1000Hz
RDL 200ms 2590Hz	
RDL 200ms 2090Hz	
RDL 200ms 1590Hz	
RDL 200ms 1090Hz	
RDC 200ms 2590Hz	
RDC 200ms 2090Hz	
RDC 200ms 1590Hz	
RDC 200ms 1090Hz	
46 47 48 log	49 _{90%} 50 51 52 53 ₁₀ E _{GW} [erg]

We target three frequency bands in GW data: 1 to 3 kHz where f-modes live 100 to 200 Hz max detector sensitivity 100 to 1000 Hz for full coverage.

We set loudest event upper limits on (isotropic) GW energy at 90% detection efficiency using 1) circularly and linearly polarized ringdowns (RDC, RDL) in the 1 to 3 kHz region; and 2) white noise burst (WNB) waveforms at low frequencies.

QPO Searches

Quasi-periodic oscillations (QPO's) have been observed in the tails of the light curves of the August 1998 SGR 1900+14 and December 2004 SGR 1806-20 hyperflares [12], and may characterize the emitted GW radiation. S5 LIGO data available for over 250 flares will be analyzed.

Our analysis will extend a previously developed search algorithm targeting long duration, narrowband transients [11] that has been used to analyze the 2004 SGR 1806-20 hyperflare [12]. We will perform an on-source measurement by computing the difference between excess energy summed in 300 s intervals beginning (or ending) at 5 s after (before) an observed flare. Off-source measurements will be performed analogously on background stretches. On-source and off-source distributions will be generated by analyzing all H1-L1 coincident data from S5, and then compared to estimate detection significance.

LIGO Source	1806-20	1900+14
Triple Coincident	106	43
H1L1 Coincident	9	1
H1H2 Coincident	48	10
H2L1 Coincident	5	0
H1 only data	2	2
H2 only data	7	1
L1 only data	7	0
No Data	20	1
Total	204	58



We assumed variation in delay between GW and EM emission is small compared to GW burst signal duration.

Stacking gave 12x sensitivity gain (N=11 flat model). Best f-mode upper limit of **10**⁴⁸ erg.





Ongoing Prompt Searches: Recent bursts including nearby SGR 0501+4516

Bursts from 6 magnetars during the 2nd year of LIGO's 5th science run (S5y2) and Astrowatch (A5) commissioning period (A5 involved the LIGO 2 km and GEO detectors only). SGR 0501+4516 (discovered 2009) is likely 800 pc from Earth [9]. We thus expect E_{GW} limits at least 10x lower than before.

We will target 92.5 Hz and 84.0 Hz as the QPO bands for SGR 1806-20 and SGR 1900+14, respectively. Upper limits will be established using a 1.28σ detection significance.

GW Emission Models

Little has been said about GW emission from magnetars. In loka's model [10] which may be the most detailed:

 $\gamma \equiv E_{GW} / E_{EM} = 10^4$ possible in the most extreme case $E_{GW} = 10^{49} \text{ erg}$ possible in the most extreme case Our upper limits begin to enter this region.

We expect new results on GW amplitudes in 2010. [14] made significant theoretical progress and it promises directly addressable predictions. Ongoing work[15] uses numerical GR models to begin to understand the relationships between the NS event and GW emission.

Approximate number of flares and detector status (S5) [6].



QPO observed during the 2004 SGR 1806-20 hyperflare [13].



Antenna geometry during SGR 0501 bursts was more favorable for GEO than for LIGO 2 km.



Magnetar bursts 2004 through 2009. Red diamond – giant flare green stars – storms

Future Searches

Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo are expected to give an additional 100x in energy sensitivity beginning in 2015.

f-mode upper limits from 800 pc could then be as low as 10⁴⁴ erg. Will it be enough for detection?

Additional orders of magnitude might come from: -SGR @ 250 pc or less -stacking SGR0501 bursts -new and clever methods





We are also working to develop an online version of the Flare pipeline that will enable us to perform automated low-latency multi-messenger searches of GRBs and SGRs. Online Flare will substantially reduce the delay between trigger detection and GW data analysis results.



ZM gratefully acknowledges the help of Peter Kalmus (Caltech) and Ilya Belopolski, David Fierroz, Alyssa Miller, David Murphy and Keith Redwine (Columbia University) in the preparation of this poster.



REFERENCES 7. O. Benhar, V. Ferrari, and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D 70,124015 1. P. M. Woods and C. Thompson, in Compact Stellar X-Ray Sources, (2004). 8. J. Clark et al. Phys. Rev. D 76, 043003 (2007). ed. W. G. H. Lewin and M. van der Klis (Cambridge University, 9. Leahy and Tian A&A 461, 1013–1018 (2007) Cambridge, 2004). 2. R. C. Duncan and C. Thompson, Astrophys. J. Lett. 392, L9 (1992). 10.K. loka, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 327, 639 (2001). 3. C. Thompson and R. C. Duncan, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 275, 255 11. L. Matone and S. Marka, Class. Quant. Grav. 24, S649657 (2007). 12. B. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. D 76, 062003 (2007). (1995) 4. N. Andersson and K. D. Kokkotas, MNRAS. 299, 1059 (1998). 13. T. Strohmayer and A. Watts, Astrophys. J. 653, 593 (2006) 5. P. Kalmus et al., Class. Quant. Grav. 24, S659 (2007) 14. A. Corsi and B. Owen, LIGO-T0900242 and VIR-NOT-ROM-028A-09

6. P. Kalmus, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 2008. arXiv:0904.4394.15. P. Kalmus and C. Ott, LIGO-G1000046